CS 201: Data Structures

Shortest Paths

Aaron Bauer

Winter 2021

Single source shortest paths

- Done: BFS to find the minimum path length from **v** to **u** in *O*(|E|+|V|)

- Actually, can find the minimum path length from **v** to *every node*
  - Still *O*(|E|+|V|)
  - No faster way for a “distinguished” destination in the worst-case

- Now: Weighted graphs

Given a weighted graph and node **v**,
find the minimum-cost path from **v** to every node

- As before, asymptotically no harder than for one destination
- Unlike before, BFS will not work

Applications

- Driving directions
- Cheap flight itineraries
- Network routing
- Critical paths in project management

Not as easy





Why BFS won't work: Shortest path may not have the fewest edges

- Annoying when this happens with costs of flights

We will assume there are no negative weights

- *Problem* is *ill-defined* if there are negative-cost *cycles*
- BFS is *wrong* if *edges* can be negative
  - There are other, slower (but not terrible) algorithms

Dijkstra's algorithm

- Algorithm named after its inventor Edsger Dijkstra (1930-2002)
  - A good quotation: "computer science is no more about computers than astronomy is about telescopes"
- The idea: reminiscent of BFS, but adapted to handle weights
  - Grow the set of nodes whose shortest distance has been computed
  - Nodes not in the set will have a "best distance so far"
  - A priority queue will turn out to be useful for efficiency

Dijkstra's Algorithm: Idea



- Initially, start node has cost 0 and all other nodes have cost ∞
- At each step:
  - Pick closest unknown vertex **v**
  - Add it to the "cloud" of known vertices
  - Update distances for nodes with edges from **v**
- That's it!

The Algorithm

1. For each node **`v`**, set **`v.cost = ∞`** and **`v.known = false`**
2. Set **`source.cost = 0`**
3. While there are unknown nodes in the graph
   a) Select the unknown node **`v`** with lowest cost
   b) Mark **`v`** as known
   c) For each edge **`(v,u)`** with weight **`w`**,

```
c1 = v.cost + w // cost of best path through v to u
c2 = u.cost   // cost of best path to u previously known
if(c1 < c2){ // if the path through v is better
  u.cost = c1
  u.path = v // for computing actual paths
}
```

Important features

- When a vertex is marked known, the cost of the shortest path to that node is known
  - The path is also known by following back-pointers

- While a vertex is still not known, another shorter path to it *might* still be found

Example #1



vertex	known?	cost	path
A		0	
B		??	
C		??	
D		??	
E		??	
F		??	
G		??	
H		??	

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

Example #1



Order Added to Known Set:

A

vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B		≤ 2	A
C		≤ 1	A
D		≤ 4	A
E		??	
F		??	
G		??	
H		??	

Example #1



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B		≤ 2	A
C	Y	1	A
D		≤ 4	A
E		≤ 12	C
F		??	
G		??	
H		??	

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, C

Example #1



Order Added to Known Set:

A, C, B

vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D		≤ 4	A
E		≤ 12	C
F		≤ 4	B
G		??	
H		??	

Example #1



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D	Y	4	A
E		≤ 12	C
F		≤ 4	B
G		??	
H		??	

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, C, B, D

Example #1



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D	Y	4	A
E		≤ 12	C
F	Y	4	B
G		??	
H		≤ 7	F

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, C, B, D, F

Example #1



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D	Y	4	A
E		≤ 12	C
F	Y	4	B
G		≤ 8	H
H	Y	7	F

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, C, B, D, F, H

Example #1



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D	Y	4	A
E		$\leq$ 11	G
F	Y	4	B
G	Y	8	H
H	Y	7	F

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, C, B, D, F, H, G

Example #1



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D	Y	4	A
E	Y	11	G
F	Y	4	B
G	Y	8	H
H	Y	7	F

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, C, B, D, F, H, G, E

Features

- When a vertex is marked known,
  the cost of the shortest path to that node is known
  - The path is also known by following back-pointers

- While a vertex is still not known,
  another shorter path to it might still be found

Note: The "Order Added to Known Set" is not important

- A detail about how the algorithm works (client doesn't care)
- Not used by the algorithm (implementation doesn't care)
- It is sorted by path-cost, resolving ties in some way
  - Helps give intuition of why the algorithm works

Interpreting the Results

- Now that we're done, how do we get the path from, say, A to E?
  - Follow that path column in reverse E to G to H to F to B to A
  - So the path is A, B, F, H, G, E



<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, C, B, D, F, H, G, E

vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D	Y	4	A
E	Y	11	G
F	Y	4	B
G	Y	8	H
H	Y	7	F

Stopping Short

- How would this have worked differently if we were only interested in:
  - The path from A to F?
  - We can stop as soon as we add F to the known set



Order Added to Known Set:

A, C, B, D, F, H, G, E

vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	2	A
C	Y	1	A
D	Y	4	A
E	Y	11	G
F	Y	4	B
G	Y	8	H
H	Y	7	F

Example #2



vertex	known?	cost	path
A		0	
B		??	
C		??	
D		??	
E		??	
F		??	
G		??	

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

Example #2



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B		??	
C		≤ 2	A
D		≤ 1	A
E		??	
F		??	
G		??	

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A

Example #2



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B		≤ 6	D
C		≤ 2	A
D	Y	1	A
E		≤ 2	D
F		≤ 7	D
G		≤ 6	D

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, D

Example #2



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B		≤ 6	D
C	Y	2	A
D	Y	1	A
E		≤ 2	D
F		≤ 4	C
G		≤ 6	D

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, D, C

Example #2



Order Added to Known Set:

A, D, C, E

vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B		≤ 3	E
C	Y	2	A
D	Y	1	A
E	Y	2	D
F		≤ 4	C
G		≤ 6	D

Example #2



Order Added to Known Set:

A, D, C, E, B

vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	3	E
C	Y	2	A
D	Y	1	A
E	Y	2	D
F		≤ 4	C
G		≤ 6	D

Example #2



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	3	E
C	Y	2	A
D	Y	1	A
E	Y	2	D
F	Y	4	C
G		≤ 6	D

<u>Order Added to Known Set:</u>

A, D, C, E, B, F

Example #2



vertex	known?	cost	path
A	Y	0	
B	Y	3	E
C	Y	2	A
D	Y	1	A
E	Y	2	D
F	Y	4	C
G	Y	6	D

Order Added to Known Set:

A, D, C, E, B, F, G

Example #3



How will the best-cost-so-far for Y proceed?

Is this expensive?

Example #3



How will the best-cost-so-far for Y proceed? 90, 81, 72, 63, 54, …

Is this expensive?

Example #3



How will the best-cost-so-far for Y proceed? 90, 81, 72, 63, 54, …

Is this expensive? No, each *edge* is processed only once

A Greedy Algorithm

- Dijkstra’s algorithm
  - For single-source shortest paths in a weighted graph (directed or undirected) with no negative-weight edges

- An example of a *greedy algorithm*:
  - At each step, always does what seems best at that step
    - A locally optimal step, not necessarily globally optimal
  - Once a vertex is known, it is not revisited
    - Turns out to be globally optimal

Where are We?

- Had a problem: Compute shortest paths in a weighted graph with no negative weights
- Learned an algorithm: Dijkstra’s algorithm
- What should we do after learning an algorithm?
  - Analyze its efficiency
    - Will do better by using a data structure we learned earlier!

Efficiency, first approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

- Notice each edge is processed only once

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  while(not all nodes are known) {
    b = find unknown node with smallest cost
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
       if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
         a.cost = b.cost + weight((b,a))
         a.path = b
       }
}
```

Efficiency, first approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

- Notice each edge is processed only once

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  while(not all nodes are known) {
    b = find unknown node with smallest cost
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
       if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
         a.cost = b.cost + weight((b,a))
         a.path = b
       }
}
```

O(|V|)

Efficiency, first approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

- Notice each edge is processed only once

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  while(not all nodes are known) {
    b = find unknown node with smallest cost
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
       if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
         a.cost = b.cost + weight((b,a))
         a.path = b
       }
}
```

$O(|V|)$

$O(|V|^2)$

Efficiency, first approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

- Notice each edge is processed only once

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  while(not all nodes are known) {
    b = find unknown node with smallest cost
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
       if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
         a.cost = b.cost + weight((b,a))
         a.path = b
       }
}
```

$O(|V|)$

$O(|V|^2)$

$O(|E|)$

Efficiency, first approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

– Notice each edge is processed only once

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  while(not all nodes are known) {
    b = find unknown node with smallest cost
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
       if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
         a.cost = b.cost + weight((b,a))
         a.path = b
       }
}
```

$O(|V|)$

$O(|V|^2)$

$O(|E|)$

$O(|V|^2)$

Improving asymptotic running time

- So far: $O(|V|^2)$
- We had a similar “problem” with topological sort being $O(|V|^2)$ due to each iteration looking for the node to process next
  - We solved it with a queue of zero-degree nodes
  - But here we need the lowest-cost node and costs can change as we process edges
- Solution?

Improving (?) asymptotic running time

- So far: $O(|V|^2)$
- We had a similar "problem" with topological sort being $O(|V|^2)$ due to each iteration looking for the node to process next
  - We solved it with a queue of zero-degree nodes
  - But here we need the lowest-cost node and costs can change as we process edges
- Solution?
  - A priority queue holding all unknown nodes, sorted by cost
  - But must support **`decreaseKey`** operation
    - Must maintain a reference from each node to its current position in the priority queue
    - Conceptually simple, but can be a pain to code up

Efficiency, second approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  build-heap with all nodes
  while(heap is not empty) {
    b = deleteMin()
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
      if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
        decreaseKey(a,"new cost – old cost")
        a.path = b
      }
}
```

Efficiency, second approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  build-heap with all nodes
  while(heap is not empty) {
    b = deleteMin()
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
      if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
        decreaseKey(a,"new cost – old cost")
        a.path = b
      }
}
```

O(|V|)

Efficiency, second approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  build-heap with all nodes
  while(heap is not empty) {
    b = deleteMin()
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
      if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
        decreaseKey(a,"new cost - old cost")
        a.path = b
      }
}
```

O(|V|)

O(|V|log|V|)

Efficiency, second approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  build-heap with all nodes
  while(heap is not empty) {
    b = deleteMin()
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
      if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
        decreaseKey(a,"new cost – old cost")
        a.path = b
      }
}
```

- First four lines: $O(|V|)$
- `b = deleteMin()` and `b.known = true`: $O(|V|\log|V|)$
- `for each edge (b,a) in G` to the closing brace: $O(|E|\log|V|)$

Efficiency, second approach

Use pseudocode to determine asymptotic run-time

```
dijkstra(Graph G, Node start) {
  for each node: x.cost=infinity, x.known=false
  start.cost = 0
  build-heap with all nodes
  while(heap is not empty) {
    b = deleteMin()
    b.known = true
    for each edge (b,a) in G
     if(!a.known)
      if(b.cost + weight((b,a)) < a.cost){
        decreaseKey(a,"new cost – old cost")
        a.path = b
      }
}
```

O(|V|)

O(|V|log|V|)

O(|E|log|V|)

O(|V|log|V|+|E|log|V|)

Dense vs. sparse again

- First approach: $O(|V|^2)$
- Second approach: $O(|V|\log|V|+|E|\log|V|)$
- So which is better?
  - Sparse: $O(|V|\log|V|+|E|\log|V|)$ (if $|E| > |V|$, then $O(|E|\log|V|)$)
  - Dense: $O(|V|^2)$
- But, remember these are worst-case and asymptotic
  - Priority queue might have slightly worse constant factors than a linear search
  - On the other hand, for "normal graphs", we might call **`decreaseKey`** rarely (or not percolate far), making $|E|\log|V|$ more like $|E|$